Gradient Echo Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Prediction of Hemorrhagic vs Ischemic Stroke

A Need for the Consideration of the Extent of Leukoariosis
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Background: Multifocal signal loss lesion (MSLL) on gradient echo magnetic resonance imaging (GE-MRI) may reflect bleeding-prone microangiopathy. However, MSLLs are also known to be associated with leukoariosis; leukoariosis is commonly associated with occlusive-type vascular lesions.

Objective: To determine whether MSLL on GE-MRI is significantly associated with the type of stroke—intracerebral hemorrhagic (ICH) stroke more often than an ischemic stroke (infarction)—regardless of the extent of leukoariosis.

Patients and Methods: We studied 91 patients who had an acute stroke and were admitted to the Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea, from March 1, 1997, to July 31, 1998. These patients underwent both conventional MRI and GE-MRI. The GE-MRI was used to count MSLLs. We also counted lacunae and classified leukoariosis (none or mild and advanced). Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to test for MSLL–leukoariosis interaction association with the type of stroke (ICH over infarction) and to evaluate the relative contribution of an MSLL—adjusted for age, sex, and lacunae—in discriminating the type of stroke.

Results: The association between MSLL and ICH statistically significantly differed by leukoariosis ($P=.003$ for MSLL–leukoariosis interaction term). The MSLL count on GE-MRI was significantly associated with the type of stroke (ICH over infarction; odds ratio, 2.46; 95% confidence interval, 1.38-4.39) when leukoariosis was classified as none or mild. When leukoariosis was classified as advanced, there was a decrease in the odds ratio of MSLL to 0.99 (95% confidence interval, 0.94-1.04).

Conclusions: Our findings indicate that MSLL on GE-MRI is a predictor of ICH vs infarction in patients with no or mild leukoariosis, but not in patients with advanced leukoariosis. Therefore, in the evaluation of GE-MRI for a bleeding-prone microangiopathy, the extent of leukoariosis should be considered.
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**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**PATIENT POPULATION**

The cohort consisted of 116 consecutive patients who had an acute stroke and were admitted to the Department of Neurology, Seoul National University Hospital, from March 1, 1997, to July 31, 1998, and underwent both conventional MRI and GE-MRI. After exclusion of 25 subjects, 91 patients (58 men, 33 women; mean [SD] age, 64.3 [9.7] years; age range, 37-89 years) were included. Exclusionary criteria were (1) patients who did not have relevant imaging findings that explained the neurologic symptoms, (2) transient ischemic attack without progression to completed stroke, and (3) strokes due to miscellaneous causes such as an aneurysm, vasculitis, moyamoya disease, hematologic disorders, hypercoagulable states, arteriovenous malformation, and venous sinus thrombosis.

**CLINICAL EVALUATION**

All patients underwent systematic investigations, including complete blood cell count, blood chemistry studies, lipid profiles, coagulation abnormalities, urinalysis, chest x-ray film, electrocardiography, computed tomographic scan (CT), MRI, and MR angiography. In selected patients, transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography, including a microbubble contrast test, transcranial Doppler, and catheter angiography, were also performed.

Figure 1. A 69-year-old woman with hypertension had advanced leukoariosis (A) and numerous multifocal signal loss lesions (black arrow) (B); an acute ischemic lesion of internal capsule (C, white arrow) developed, which is found to overlap with the nearby multifocal signal loss lesions (black arrow, part B).

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of ICH</th>
<th>MSLLs</th>
<th>No MSLLs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No or mild leukoariosis</td>
<td>12/61</td>
<td>49/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced leukoariosis</td>
<td>4/11</td>
<td>7/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following cerebrovascular risk factors were recorded for all patients: hypertension, diabetes mellitus (history of diabetes mellitus with or without current treatment or fasting blood glucose level > 140 mg/dL (> 7.8 mmol/L)), smoking (current or ex-smoker who had quit smoking < 5 years before admission), abnormal cholesterol levels (< 160 or > 239 mg/dL [< 4.14 or > 6.21 mmol/L]), history of stroke, and previous medications (antiplatelet agents or anticoagulants) received. Hypertension was considered to be present if a subject had 2 or more of the following conditions: (1) repeated blood pressure readings above 160/95 mm Hg at intervals of 1 week, (2) a history of hypertension and/or use of antihypertensives, (3) findings of target organ damage including hypertensive retinopathy on optical fundus examination or left ventricular hypertrophy on electrocardiography or echocardiography. The potential stroke mechanisms were determined according to the criteria of the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST). Locations of ICH were classified as deep (thalamus and basal ganglia), lobar, or infratentorial.

**MRI EVALUATION**

All MRI studies were performed on a 1.5-T superconducting magnet (Signa; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wis). The standardized MRI protocol consisted of axial T2-weighted spin-echo (repetition time, 2500-4500 milliseconds; echo time, 80-112 milliseconds; flip angle, 20°; slice thickness, 5 mm; and gap width, 2 mm), axial
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T2*-weighted GE sequences (repetition time, 200-500 milliseconds; echo time, 15 milliseconds; flip angle, 20°; field of view, 220×170 mm; acquisition matrix size, 256×192 pixels; number of excitations, 2; slice thickness, 1.4 mm; and gap width, 0.7 mm). Brain CT scan and T2-weighted MRI were used to identify leukoariosis, ICH, and infarction. Leukoariosis was classified as absent, punctate, early confluent, or confluent abnormalities according to Fazekas et al.13 Then, the former 2 were defined as no or mild leukoariosis and the latter 2 as advanced leukoariosis. This dichotomization was performed on the basis of previous study findings that showed that punctate foci cannot be attributed unequivocally to brain ischemia and more extensive abnormalities reflect a true ischemic process.14-16 Areas of parenchymal ischemic destruction with a diameter of less than 10 mm were termed “lacunae” and counted. The GE-MRI was used to count focal areas of homogenous round signal loss with a diameter of up to 5 mm (MSLLs, Figure 1), unless CT scanning showed that these areas were calcifications. Anatomical locations for MSLLs and ICH were recorded. An MR angiography or catheter angiography were used to document intracranial large artery diseases, which were defined as more than 50% luminal narrowings in the internal carotid artery, anterior cerebral artery, middle cerebral artery, posterior cerebral artery, basilar artery, or vertebral artery. All radiographic scans were reviewed by 2 neurologists (H.-J.B. and S.-H.L.), whose consensus determined the MRI findings. The reviewers were blinded to clinical and demographic data.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

First, to determine the relationship with the extent of leukoariosis, the number of MSLLs and lacunae of patients with advanced leukoariosis (early confluent or confluent) were compared with those of patients with no or mild leukoariosis (absent or punctate). Second, we divided the 91 patients into 2 groups, depending on whether they had an ICH or infarction. Various variables (demographic, MRI, and risk factors) were compared between the ICH group and the infarction group. The Mann-Whitney test was used for comparison of continuous variables between the groups. χ² Analysis was used to compare proportions between groups. Third, the ICH and infarction groups were further subdivided into the no or mild group or the advanced leukoariosis group. The MSLL counts were compared between the ICH and infarction groups in each of the subdivided leukoariosis groups. We also tested for statistical significance of the interaction between MSLLs and leukoariosis in predicting the type of stroke (ICH over infarction) using a logistic model. Finally, in both leukoariosis groups, multiple logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the relative contribution of MSLLs—adjusted for age, sex, and lacunae—in discriminating between an ICH and an infarction. Age, MSLL(s), and the numbers of lacunae were used as continuous variables; sex was analyzed as a dummy variable.

**Statistical significance was set at P<.05. The Statistical Package of Social Sciences (Version 8.0; SPSS, Chicago, Ill) was used for data analysis. All values are given as the mean (SD).**

There is a growing consensus that GE-MRI may enable the recognition of bleeding-prone microangiopathy, which has a clinical impact because a group of individuals at high risk of ICH, both spontaneously and following anticoagulation therapy, are expected to be identifiable.6,17 Our results showed that the ICH and the infarction groups differed for the MSLL count only, providing evidence for the possible clinical usefulness of GE-MRI.

However, it was recently indicated that MSLL did not discriminate between major hemorrhagic or multiple lacunar stroke.17 Also, considering the close link between both ICH and ischemic injury with leukoariosis,1,9,18-22 and the increasing MSLL numbers with advanced leukoariosis20,21 as shown by our results, there remains a case for determining whether GE-MRI can be used for identifying patients at high risk of ICH in the presence of advanced leukoariosis. Our study revealed that, when patients have no or mild leukoariosis, 1 increment of the MSLL count approximately doubled the risk of ICH over infarction (adjusted OR = 2.46). On the contrary, MSLLs on GE-MRI was not a predictor of ICH vs infarction in patients with advanced leukoariosis. These results were unchanged when we performed statistical analysis after excluding patients with strokes of mechanisms other than small vessel disease (data not shown).

We believe that in the evaluation of GE-MRI for a bleeding-prone microangiopathy, the extent of leukoariosis should always be considered.

Why did the GE-MRI lose discriminating power between ICH and infarction in those patients with advanced leukoariosis? In the advanced leukoariosis group most of those with large or small artery infarctions had MSLLs, which formed a striking contrast to the GE-MRI findings of absent MSLLs in those with infarction in the no or mild leukoariosis group. When one considers the higher numbers of both lacunae and MSLLs in patients with advanced leukoariosis than in those patients with no or mild leukoariosis, it is probably the case that arteriosclerotic changes related to long-standing exposure to stroke risk factors as the shared causative basis may have resulted in both occlusion and rupture.5,22,23 For example, the cerebral complications of patients with hypertension may vary; either rupture or occlusion of the diseased small artery may result in parenchymal hemorrhage, lacunar infarction, or widespread leukoariosis depending on the circumstances.5,7,8,22 In support of these, pathologic changes in ICH such as lipohyalinosis,7 microaneurysms,15 and fibrinoid degeneration24 have also been found in subjects with chronic hypertension, lacunae, and leukoariosis.9 Clinically silent ischemic lesions as well as previous hemorrhages are a common finding on the MRIs of patients with primary intracerebral hematomas.7 Prior ischemic infarction was also reported to be one of the risk factors for intracerebral hemorrhage.5,6,9,23 Moreover, some have argued19,27 and supported7 that ICH requires an underlying ischemic lesion to set the chain of hemorrhagic events in motion.
Several considerations must be given to our study. First, although consecutively collected, the hospital-based stroke cases of relatively small sample size in this study may not be representative of the total patient population. Although the distribution pattern of ICH and infarction subtypes in this study were similar to those of previous reports, the relatively small number of patients with ICH in the advanced leukoariosis group still remains as a weak point. Second, we did not exclude patients with territorial infarctions or lobar hemorrhages, and this nonhomogeneity of subjects may have altered our results in some way. However, we believe that the study group is closer to and more representative of the actual clinical situation, in which patients with small artery disease are not free from ICH or infarction due to large artery disease or cerebral amyloid angiopathy. The MSLL can represent underlying amyloid angiopathy in cases of lobar ICH, but the frequencies of lobar ICH in the no or mild leukoariosis group were similar to those seen in the advanced leukoariosis group. In addition, there were only a few patients with lobar ICH who had
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CONCLUSIONS

The number of MSLLs on GE-MRI is a predictor of ICH vs infarction in patients with no or mild leukoaraiosis, but not in those with advanced leukoaraiosis. A GE-MRI, if used alone to decide on different types of secondary prevention for stroke, without consideration of the extent of leukoaraiosis, may act as a “double-edged sword” affording a prediction of hemorrhagic complications at the no or mild leukoaraiosis classification and raising the possibility of relapsing ischemic stroke in the case of advanced leukoaraiosis.
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